



On SITN Rings

Rafal R Dhanoon^{1,*} and Nazar H. Shuker²

^{1,2}Department of Mathematics, College of Computer Sciences and Mathematics, University of Mosul, Mosul, Iraq

Emails: rafal.23csp31@student.uomosul.edu.iq, nazarhamdoon7@gmail.com

Article information

Article history:

Received:29/5/2024

Accepted:16/7/2024

Available online :15/12/2024

Abstract

An element is considered as a strong SITN, if it is the sum of idempotent, tripotent and nilpotent, that commute with one another. A ring \mathcal{R} is referred to be SITN ring if each member of \mathcal{R} is a strongly SITN. In this paper additional properties of a strongly SITN – ring is given. We prove that if \mathcal{R} is a strongly SITN – ring, then $a^5 - 5a^3 + 4a$ is a nilpotent for every a in \mathcal{R} , we also give a necessary and sufficient condition for a strongly SITN ring to be a strongly nil clean ring. Among other results, we show that the Jacobson radical of a strongly SITN is a nil ideal. Finally, we are considering a special SITN-ring.

Keywords:

Nilpotent, idempotent, tripotent, strongly nil clean.

Correspondence:

Author: Rafal R Dhanoon

Email: rafal.23csp31@student.uomosul.edu.iq

I. Introduction

Each ring is associated with an identity. The set of units, idempotent, tripotent, nilpotent elements and the Jacobson radical represented by the corresponding, symbols $U(\mathcal{R})$, $Id(\mathcal{R})$, $Tri(\mathcal{R})$, $Nil(\mathcal{R})$, and $J(\mathcal{R})$.

A clean ring, as defined by W. K. Nicholson [1] in 1997, is one in which each member of \mathcal{R} is a sum of an idempotent and a unit. Later in 1999 Nicholson [2] defined the strongly clean ring when the idempotent and the unit commute. Many authors worked in this kind of ring see for example [3], [4], and [5]. In 2013, Diesel [6] presented the idea of a nil clean, which is a ring whose members are the sum of an idempotent and a nilpotent.

A strongly nil clean ring was presented by T. Kozan [7] in 2016. This type of ring is one in which each member may be expressed as the sum of an idempotent and nilpotent that commute. Many authors, including [8], [9] and [10], have examined these concepts. In 2017, Chen and Sheibani [11], presented a strongly 2 – nil clean ring, which is a ring in which each member of \mathcal{R} is the sum of two idempotents and a nilpotent that commute, many authors worked in this kind of rings see for example [12] and [13].

II. Preliminaries

We shall provide certain definitions, examples and theorems in this part we might need in the sequel.

Definition 2.1 [14]

If ϑ in \mathcal{R} , and if $\vartheta^2 = \vartheta$, then ϑ is an idempotent element of \mathcal{R} . Clearly if ϑ is an idempotent element then $(1 - \vartheta)$ is also idempotent, since $(1 - \vartheta)^2 = 1 - 2\vartheta + \vartheta^2 = 1 - \vartheta$

Definition 2.2 [15]

An element τ in a ring \mathcal{R} is called tripotent if $\tau^3 = \tau$. If each member of a ring \mathcal{R} is tripotent \mathcal{R} is referred to be a tripotent.

Lemma 2.3 [16]

If $\tau = \tau^3$ then 1. τ^2 is a idempotent.

2. $\tau^2 + \tau - 1$ is a unit of order two.

Definition 2.4 [17]

A given element a is nilpotent if there exists a positive integer ℓ such that $a^\ell = 0$.

Lemma 2.5

If ϑ is idempotent, then $2\vartheta - 1$ is of order two unit.

Theorem 2.6 [6]

A ring \mathcal{R} is clean and $c^2 - c$ is nilpotent if and only if \mathcal{R} is a strongly nil clean.

Example (1)

In the ring \mathcal{Z}_8 , note that $Id(\mathcal{Z}_8) = \{0,1\}$ and $Nil(\mathcal{Z}_8) = \{0,2,4,6\}$. Clearly, \mathcal{Z}_8 is a nil clean ring.

Definition 2.7

A ring with any a in \mathcal{R} is called a strongly 2 – nil clean or briefly strongly 2 - NC if $a = \vartheta_1 + \vartheta_2 + n$ where $\vartheta_1, \vartheta_2 \in Id(\mathcal{R})$ and $n \in Nil(\mathcal{R})$ that commute with each other.

Example (2)

In the ring \mathcal{Z}_{12} , note that $Id(\mathcal{Z}_{12}) = \{0,1,4,9\}$ and $Nil(\mathcal{Z}_{12}) = \{0,6\}$, so \mathcal{Z}_{12} is strongly 2 - NC.

Theorem 2.8 [11]

Suppose \mathcal{R} is a ring, and let $c \in \mathcal{R}$ then $c^3 - c$ is nilpotent if and only if \mathcal{R} is a strongly 2 – NC.

Lemma 2.9 [18]

If m is nilpotent, v is a unit and $vm = mv$

1. $1 \pm m$ is a unit.
2. $v + m$ is a unit.

Definition 2.10 [19]

A ring \mathcal{R} is referred as a m – good ring if all elements $c = \mathcal{U}_1 + \mathcal{U}_2 + \dots + \mathcal{U}_m$ where $\mathcal{U}_i, i = 1,2,3, \dots, m$ are unit elements.

Lemma 2.11

If $\vartheta \in Id(\mathcal{R})$ and $2 \in U(\mathcal{R})$, then $1 + \vartheta$ is a unit.

Proof: Consider $(1 + \vartheta)(2 - \vartheta) = 2 - \vartheta + 2\vartheta - \vartheta$
 $= 2 - 2\vartheta + 2\vartheta$
 $= 2.$

Since $2 \in U(\mathcal{R})$, then $1 + \vartheta \in U(\mathcal{R})$.

Lemma 2.12

If ϑ_1 and $\vartheta_2 \in Id(\mathcal{R})$ and if $\vartheta_1\vartheta_2 = \vartheta_2\vartheta_1$, then $\vartheta_1 - \vartheta_2$ is a tripotent.

III. Basic Properties of A Strongly Sitrn – Rings

In this part, we give an overview of the strongly SITN-rings, highlighting some of its basic properties and offering some examples.

Definition 3.1

Let \mathcal{R} be a ring, then \mathcal{R} is considered to be a strongly SITN-ring if for every a in \mathcal{R} , $a = \vartheta + \tau + n$, where ϑ is idempotent, τ is a tripotent and n is a nilpotent that commutes with one another.

Example (1)

The ring \mathcal{Z}_{16} is an SITN- ring. Clearly $Id(\mathcal{Z}_{16}) = \{0,1\}$ and $Tri(\mathcal{Z}_{16}) = \{0,1,7,9,15\}$ and $Nil(\mathcal{Z}_{16}) = \{0,2,4,6,8,10,12,14\}$. Evidently, every member of \mathcal{Z}_{16} is the sum of an element in $Id(\mathcal{R})$ and an element in $Tri(\mathcal{R})$ and an element in $Nil(\mathcal{R})$. So \mathcal{Z}_{16} is a strongly SITN- ring.

Proposition 3.2

If \mathcal{R} ring a strongly SITN- ring. Then for every $a \in \mathcal{R}, a = \vartheta - \tau + n$, for every a in \mathcal{R} .

Proof: Suppose $1 - a \in \mathcal{R}$, then $1 - a = \vartheta + \tau + n$, where ϑ is idempotent, τ is tripotent and n is nilpotent that commute with one another, then $1 - \vartheta - \tau - n = a$, since $(1 - \vartheta)$ is idempotent then $a = (1 - \vartheta) - \tau + (-n)$, and $-n$ is a nilpotent. ■

Proposition 3.3

If \mathcal{R} is a strongly SITN – ring, then $a^5 - 5a^3 + 4a \in Nil(\mathcal{R})$.

Proof: Take $a \in \mathcal{R}$, then $a = \vartheta - \tau + n$, where ϑ is idempotent, τ is tripotent and n is nilpotent that commute with one another. Thus

$$a^3 = (\vartheta - \tau)^3 + 3(\vartheta - \tau)^2n + 3(\vartheta - \tau)n^2 + n^3$$

$$a^3 = (\vartheta - \tau)^3 + n', \text{ where}$$

$$n' = 3(\vartheta - \tau)^2n + 3(\vartheta - \tau)n^2 + n^3. \text{ Observe that}$$

$$a^3 = \vartheta^3 - 3\vartheta\tau + 3\vartheta\tau^2 - \tau^3 + n'$$

$$a^3 = \vartheta - 3\vartheta\tau + 3\vartheta\tau^2 - \tau + n',$$

$$a^5 = (\vartheta - \tau)^5 + 5(\vartheta - \tau)^4n + 10(\vartheta - \tau)^3n^2 +$$

$$10(\vartheta - \tau)^2n^3 + 5(\vartheta - \tau)n^4 + n^5$$

$$a^5 = (\vartheta - \tau)^5 + n_1, \text{ where}$$

$$n_1 = 5(\vartheta - \tau)^4n + 10(\vartheta - \tau)^3n^2 + 10(\vartheta - \tau)^2n^3$$

$$+ 5(\vartheta - \tau)n^4 + n^5,$$

$$a^5 = \vartheta^5 - 5\vartheta^4\tau + 10\vartheta^3\tau^2 - 10\vartheta^2\tau^3 + 5\vartheta\tau^4 - \tau^5 + n_1,$$

$$a^5 = \vartheta - 5\vartheta\tau + 10\vartheta\tau^2 - 10\vartheta\tau + 5\vartheta\tau^2 - \tau + n_1,$$

$$a^5 = \vartheta - 15\vartheta\tau + 15\vartheta\tau^2 - \tau + n_1,$$

$$a^5 - 5a^3 + 4a = \vartheta - 15\vartheta\tau + 15\vartheta\tau^2 - \tau + n_1 - 5\vartheta$$

$$+ 15\vartheta\tau - 15\vartheta\tau^2 + 5\tau - 5n' + 4\vartheta - 4\tau + 4n$$

$$= n_1 - 5n' + 4n \in Nil(\mathcal{R}).$$

Corollary 3.4

If \mathcal{R} is a strongly SITN- ring, then $120 \in Nil(\mathcal{R})$.

Proof: Take a in \mathcal{R} , then by **Proposition 3.3** $a^5 - 5a^3 + 4a \in Nil(\mathcal{R})$. Observe that, $3^5 - 5.3^3 + 4.3 = 120$. Then $120 \in Nil(\mathcal{R})$.

Proposition 3.5

If \mathcal{R} is a strongly SITN- ring if $2 \in Nil(\mathcal{R})$, every element of \mathcal{R} is a sum of two idempotents and two units.

Proof: Take $a \in \mathcal{R}$, then $a - 1 = \vartheta + \tau + n$, where ϑ is idempotent, τ is tripotent and n is nilpotent, that commute with one another then $a - 1 = \vartheta + (1 - \tau^2) + (\tau^2 + \tau - 1) + n$, so $a = \vartheta + (1 - \tau^2) + (\tau^2 + \tau - 1) + 1 + n$. Clearly $1 + n$ is unit, $1 - \tau^2$ is idempotent and $\tau^2 + \tau - 1$ is unit, gives $a = \vartheta_1 + \vartheta_2 + \mathcal{U}_1 + \mathcal{U}_2$ where $\vartheta_2 = 1 - \tau^2, \mathcal{U}_1 = \tau^2 + \tau - 1, \mathcal{U}_2 = 1 + n$. ■

Proposition 3.5

If $2 \in Nil(\mathcal{R})$, then \mathcal{R} is SITN- ring, if and only if \mathcal{R} is a strongly nil clean.

Proof: Suppose $a \in \mathcal{R}$, $a = \vartheta + \tau + n$, where ϑ is idempotent, τ is tripotent and n is nilpotent, that commute with one another, we may write $a = \vartheta + \tau - \tau^2 + \tau^2 + n$, so $a = \vartheta + \tau^2 + \tau - \tau^2 + n$, note that $(\tau - \tau^2)^2 = \tau^2 - 2\tau + \tau^2 = 2(\tau^2 - \tau)$, as $2 \in Nil(\mathcal{R})$ then $\tau - \tau^2 \in Nil(\mathcal{R})$ then $a = \vartheta + \tau^2 + n' + n$, where $\tau - \tau^2 = n'$, we may write as $a = (\vartheta - \tau^2)^2 + 2\vartheta\tau^2 + n$. Observe that $(\vartheta - \tau^2)^2$ is idempotent, and $2\vartheta\tau^2 + n \in Nil(\mathcal{R})$, say n_1 . Therefore $a = (\vartheta - \tau^2)^2 + n_1$.

Conversely, let \mathcal{R} is a strongly nil clean. Take $a \in \mathcal{R}$, then $a = \vartheta + n$, where ϑ is idempotent and n is nilpotent. Since $0 \in Tri(\mathcal{R})$, so $a = \vartheta + 0 + n$. Therefore \mathcal{R} is a strongly SITN- ring. ■

Proposition 3.6

If \mathcal{R} ring a strongly SITN- ring with $2 \in U(\mathcal{R})$. Then \mathcal{R} is 5 – good ring.

Proof: Let $a \in \mathcal{R}$, $a = \vartheta + \tau + n$, where ϑ is idempotent , τ is tripotent and n is nilpotent, that commute with one another, we may write $a = (1 - \vartheta) + (1 - \tau^2) + 2\vartheta - 1 + \tau^2 + \tau - 1 + n$, clearly $1 - \vartheta$ is idempotent, $2\vartheta - 1$ is unit, $1 - \tau^2$ is idempotent and $\tau^2 + \tau - 1$ is unit, gives $a = \vartheta_1 + \vartheta_2 + \mathcal{U}_1 + \mathcal{U}_2 + n$, we may write $a = (\vartheta_1 + 1) + (\vartheta_2 + 1) + \mathcal{U}_1 + \mathcal{U}_2 + (n - 2)$, Now $a = \mathcal{U}_3 + \mathcal{U}_4 + \mathcal{U}_1 + \mathcal{U}_2 + \mathcal{U}_5$, since $1 + \vartheta_1, 1 + \vartheta_2 \in U(\mathcal{R})$ and $n - 2 \in U(\mathcal{R})$, since $2 \in U(\mathcal{R})$. Therefore \mathcal{R} is 5 – good ring. ■

Proposition 3.7

If \mathcal{R} is a strongly SITN – ring and $2 \in U(\mathcal{R})$, then every element of \mathcal{R} can be written as a sum of a tripotent and a unit.

Proof: Give $a \in \mathcal{R}$, then $a - 1 = \vartheta + \tau + n$, where ϑ is idempotent, τ is tripotent and n is nilpotent that commute with one another, so $a = \vartheta + 1 + \tau + n$, since $\vartheta + 1$ by (Lemma 2.11), is unit then $a = \mathcal{U} + \tau + n$, since $\mathcal{U} + n$ is unit by Lemma (2.9). So $a = t + (\mathcal{U} + n)$ Therefore \mathcal{R} is a sum of a tripotent and unit. ■

Proposition 3.8

If \mathcal{R} is a strongly SITN- ring and $2 \in Nil(\mathcal{R})$, then $J(\mathcal{R})$ is a nil ideal.

Proof: Let \mathcal{R} be a strongly SITN- ring and let $2 \in Nil(\mathcal{R})$. Then by Proposition 3.5 \mathcal{R} is a strongly nil clean ring. Take $a \in J(\mathcal{R})$, then $a = \vartheta + n$, where $\vartheta \in Id(\mathcal{R})$, $n \in Nil(\mathcal{R})$ and $\vartheta n = n\vartheta$. Thus $1 - a$ is a unit. So $1 - a = 1 - \vartheta - n$, the gives $(1 - a) + n = 1 - \vartheta$. Since $1 - a$ is a unit, then $(1 - a) + n \in U(\mathcal{R})$. So $-\vartheta \in U(\mathcal{R})$, since $1 - \vartheta$ is idempotent then $1 - \vartheta = 1$, gives $\vartheta = 0$. Hence $a = 0 + n \in Nil(\mathcal{R})$. Therefore $J(\mathcal{R})$ is a nil ideal of \mathcal{R} . ■

IV. Special Strongly Sitr Rings

In this section, we consider a special type of strongly

SITN ring, we give some of its properties.

Definition 4.1

A ring \mathcal{R} is called a special strongly SITN- ring if for all $b \in \mathcal{R}$, $b = \vartheta - \tau + n$ and $(\vartheta\tau) = (\vartheta\tau)^2$, for every b in \mathcal{R} .

Example (1)

Consider the ring \mathcal{Z}_4 . So $Id(\mathcal{Z}_4) = \{0,1\}$, $Tri(\mathcal{Z}_4) = \{0,1,3\}$ and $Nil(\mathcal{Z}_4) = \{0,2\}$. Then \mathcal{Z}_4 is a special strongly SITN-ring, since $0 = 0 + 0 + 0, (0 \cdot 0) = (0 \cdot 0)^2, 1 = 1 + 0 + 0, (1 \cdot 0) = (1 \cdot 0)^2, 2 = 1 + 1 + 0, (1 \cdot 1) = (1 \cdot 1)^2, 3 = 1 + 0 + 2, (1 \cdot 0) = (1 \cdot 0)^2$.

Proposition 4.2

If \mathcal{R} is a special strongly SITN – ring, then $b^3 - b$ is nilpotent, for every $b \in \mathcal{R}$.

Proof: Let $b \in \mathcal{R}$, so $b = \vartheta - \tau + n$, where ϑ is idempotent, τ is tripotent and n is nilpotent that commute with one another. So $b^3 = (\vartheta - \tau)^3 + 3(\vartheta - \tau)^2n + 3(\vartheta - \tau)n^2 + n^3, b^3 = (\vartheta - \tau)^3 + n'$ where $n' = 3(\vartheta - \tau)^2n + 3(\vartheta - \tau)n^2 + n^3, b^3 = \vartheta - 3\vartheta\tau + 3\vartheta\tau^2 - \tau + n', b^3 = \vartheta - \tau + 3(\vartheta\tau^2 - \vartheta\tau) + n'$, since $\vartheta\tau = (\vartheta\tau)^2$ then $\vartheta\tau = \vartheta\tau^2$, then $b^3 = \vartheta - \tau + n'$, given $b^3 - b = \vartheta - \tau + n' - \vartheta + \tau - n, b^3 - b = n' - n \in Nil(\mathcal{R})$. Therefore $b^3 - b \in Nil(\mathcal{R})$. ■

Proposition 4.3

If \mathcal{R} is a special SITN – ring, then every nonzero divisor is a unit.

Proof: Take $b \in \mathcal{R}$, then $b = \vartheta - \tau + n$, where ϑ is idempotent, τ is tripotent and n is nilpotent that commute with one another by Proposition (4.2). So $(b^3 - b)^s = 0, b^s(b^2 - 1)^s = 0$, since b is a non - zero divisor, then b^s is a non - zero divisor. $(b^2 - 1)^s = 0$, gives $b^2 - 1 \in Nil(\mathcal{R})$, say, n , thus $b^2 = n + 1$ by Lemma (2.9), then $b^2 = \mathcal{U} \in U(\mathcal{R})$, gives $b \in U(\mathcal{R})$. Therefore b is unit. ■

Proposition 4.4

Suppose \mathcal{R} is a special strongly SITN – ring and if 2 is nilpotent, then \mathcal{R} is a strongly nil clean ring.

Proof: Let \mathcal{R} be a special strongly SITN – ring, then by Proposition (4.2) \mathcal{R} is a strongly 2-nil clean ring. Hence for any $b \in \mathcal{R}$, then $b = \vartheta_1 - \vartheta_2 + n$, where ϑ_1, ϑ_2 are idempotent, and n is a nilpotent that commute with one another. We may write b as $b = (\vartheta_1 - \vartheta_2)^2 + 2\vartheta_1\vartheta_2 + n$. Clearly $(\vartheta_1 - \vartheta_2)^2$ is idempotent, since

$$\begin{aligned} (\vartheta_1 - \vartheta_2)^4 &= \vartheta_1^4 - 4\vartheta_1^3\vartheta_2 + 6\vartheta_1^2\vartheta_2^2 - 4\vartheta_1\vartheta_2^3 + \vartheta_2^4 \\ &= \vartheta_1 - 4\vartheta_1\vartheta_2 + 6\vartheta_1\vartheta_2 - 4\vartheta_1\vartheta_2 + \vartheta_2 \\ &= \vartheta_1 - 2\vartheta_1\vartheta_2 + \vartheta_2 \\ &= (\vartheta_1 - \vartheta_2)^2. \end{aligned}$$

And since $2\vartheta_1\vartheta_2 \in Nil(\mathcal{R})$, then $2\vartheta_1\vartheta_2 + n \in Nil(\mathcal{R})$, say n_1 . Thus $b = (\vartheta_1 - \vartheta_2) + n_1$. Therefore \mathcal{R} is a strongly nil clean. ■

Proposition 4.5

If \mathcal{R} is a special strongly SITN – ring. Then $J(\mathcal{R})$ is a nil ideal.

Proof: Take $b \in J(\mathcal{R})$. Then Proposition (4.2), $b^3 - b \in$

$Nil(\mathcal{R})$. Thus there exist a positive integer ℓ , such that $(b^3 - b)^\ell = 0$. So $b^\ell(b^2 - 1)^\ell = 0$. Since $b \in J(\mathcal{R})$, then $b^2 \in J(\mathcal{R})$, thus $b^2 - 1$ is a unit. Hence $b^\ell = 0$, this means that b is nilpotent. Therefore $J(\mathcal{R})$ is a nil ideal. ■

V. Conclusion

In this work, further properties of a strongly SITN- rings are given, we conclude that the Jacobson radical of a strongly SITN- ring is a nil ideal with every $d \in J(\mathcal{R}), d^3 = 0$. In addition, consider a strongly SITN- rings with 2 units, and 2 is nilpotent.

Acknowledgement

The authors are grateful for the support provided by the College of Computer Science and Mathematics at the University of Mosul.

References

- [1] W. K. Nicholson, "Lifting idempotents and exchange rings," *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.*, vol. 229, pp. 269--277, 1977.
- [2] W. K. Nicholson, "Strongly clean rings and fitting's lemma," *Commun. Algebr.*, vol. 27, no. 8, pp. 3583--3592, 1999, doi: 10.1080/00927879908826649.
- [3] D. D. Anderson and V. P. Camillo, "Commutative rings whose elements are a sum of a unit and idempotent," *Commun. Algebr.*, vol. 30, no. 7, pp. 3327--3336, 2002, doi: 10.1081/AGB-120004490.
- [4] V. P. Camillo and H. P. Yu, "Exchange Rings, Units and Idempotents," *Commun. Algebr.*, vol. 22, no. 12, pp. 4737--4749, 1994, doi: 10.1080/00927879408825098.
- [5] J. Han and W. K. Nicholson, "EXTENSIONS OF CLEAN RINGS," *Commun. Algebr.*, vol. 29, no. 6, pp. 2589--2595, Apr. 2001, doi: 10.1081/AGB-100002409.
- [6] A. J. Diesl, "Nil clean rings," *J. Algebr.*, vol. 383, pp. 197--211, 2013, doi: 10.1016/j.jalgebra.2013.02.020.
- [7] T. Koşan, Z. Wang, and Y. Zhou, "Nil-clean and strongly nil-clean rings," *J. Pure Appl. Algebr.*, vol. 220, no. 2, pp. 633--646, 2016, doi: 10.1016/j.jpaa.2015.07.009.
- [8] M. S. Abdolyousefi, N. Ashrafi, and H. Chen, "On Unit Nil-Clean Rings," *Mediterr. J. Math.*, vol. 16, no. 4, pp. 1--9, 2019, doi: 10.1007/s00009-019-1369-z.
- [9] H. Chen and M. Sheibani, "On strongly nil clean rings," *Commun. Algebr.*, vol. 45, no. 4, pp. 1719--1726, 2017, doi: 10.1080/00927872.2016.1222411.
- [10] M. T. Koşan and Y. Zhou, "On weakly nil-clean rings," *Front. Math. China*, vol. 11, no. 4, pp. 949--955, 2016, doi: 10.1007/s11464-016-0555-6.
- [11] H. Chen and M. Sheibani, "Strongly 2-nil-clean rings," *J. Algebr. Its Appl.*, vol. 16, no. 09, p. 1750178, Sep. 2017, doi: 10.1142/S021949881750178X.
- [12] H. Chen and M. S. Abdolyousefi, "Strongly 2-nil-clean rings with involutions," *Czechoslov. Math. J.*, vol. 69, no. 2, pp. 317--330, 2019, doi: 10.21136/CMJ.2018.0291-17.
- [13] A. N. Abyzov, "Strongly q-Nil-Clean Rings," *Sib. Math. J.*, vol. 60, no. 2, pp. 197--208, 2019, doi: 10.1134/S0037446619020022.
- [14] D. M. Burton, *Introduction to Modern Abstract Algebra*. Addison-Wesley, 1967.
- [15] M. S. Abdolyousefi and H. Chen, "Sums of tripotent and nilpotent matrices," *Bull. Korean Math. Soc.*, vol. 55, no. 3, pp. 913--920, 2018, doi: 10.4134/BKMS.b170384.
- [16] G. Călugăreanu, "Tripotents: A class of strongly clean elements in rings," *Analele Stiint. ale Univ. Ovidius Constanta, Ser. Mat.*, vol. 26, no. 1, pp. 69--80, 2018, doi: 10.2478/auom-2018-0003.
- [17] J. J. Watkins, *Topics in commutative ring theory*, vol. 45, no. 08. Princeton University Press, 2009. doi: 10.5860/CHOICE.45-4443.
- [18] T. H. Lam, *A first course in non- commutative rings*, vol. 131. Springer, 1991. doi: 10.1017/s0013091500019003.
- [19] D. Zelinsky, "Every Linear Transformation is a Sum of Nonsingular Ones," *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.*, vol. 5, no. 4, p. 627, Aug. 1954, doi: 10.2307/2032048.