



The Circle of Poison: Global Lessons from Minamata, Iraq's Mercury Wheat Disaster, and the Bhopal Gas Tragedy

H S Alsalm  

Consultant in the petroleum and petrochemical industries, devoted to studying nanotechnology and its use in all stages of production in petroleum and related industries.

Article information

Article history:

Received 23 September 2025
Revised 30 December 2025
Accepted 15 January 2026

Keywords:

Global Lessons
Mercury
Wheat
Bhopal
Tragedy

Correspondence:

hikmatsaidalsalim@gmail.com

Abstract

Industrial chemicals have driven major technological progress, yet their mismanagement has repeatedly resulted in catastrophic human exposure. This paper presents a comparative analysis of three landmark chemical disasters: methylmercury poisoning in Minamata and Niigata (Japan), the 1971–1972 mercury-treated wheat poisoning in Iraq, and the 1984 methyl isocyanate release in Bhopal (India). Despite involving different chemicals, exposure routes, and timescales, these events reveal a common pattern of systemic failure, including ignored early warning signs, ineffective hazard communication, degraded safety systems, weak regulatory oversight, and inadequate medical preparedness.

The study contrasts chronic dietary exposure, acute ingestion, and hyperacute inhalation pathways, demonstrating how chemical properties and toxicokinetics govern health outcomes while systemic vulnerabilities determine disaster magnitude. Building on these historical lessons, the paper extends its analysis to the petroleum and natural gas sector, emphasizing mercury occurrence, speciation, and removal as a persistent but under-recognized process safety and environmental hazard. The findings highlight that chemical disasters arise not from chemistry alone, but from unprepared systems. Effective prevention requires integrated risk governance, robust safety culture, accurate chemical knowledge, and consistent global safety standards.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.69513/jnog.v2.i1.ar4>, ©Authors, 2026, College of Engineering, Alnoor University.
This is an open-access article under the CC BY 4.0 license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

1-Introduction

Industrial chemicals have enabled extraordinary technological and economic progress, yet their mismanagement has repeatedly produced catastrophic

human exposures. The historical record demonstrates that chemical disasters do not arise from chemical complexity alone, but from failures in knowledge, preparation, operational discipline, and risk governance. Effective

industrial practice requires a comprehensive understanding of the substances handled, the pathways of exposure, and the full spectrum of possible failure modes. Inadequate knowledge—or worse, misplaced confidence—has been a defining precursor in every major chemical catastrophe.

A fundamental principle of chemical safety is preparing for the worst-case scenario, not the expected scenario. This includes anticipating runaway reactions, toxic releases, equipment failures, human error, and external stressors such as power outages or natural hazards. Routine drills, emergency response rehearsals, and scenario-testing are essential; without them, nominal safety systems provide only an illusion of protection.

Another recurrent risk factor is the absence of secure industrial buffer zones. Chemical plants, refineries, and storage facilities must never be encroached upon by informal housing, settlements, or commercial expansion. Once residential structures invade safety perimeters, even minor incidents can become mass-casualty events.

Preparedness also extends to the medical system. Hospitals must possess the antidotes, equipment, and training needed for chemical exposures relevant to nearby industries. In multiple historical events, including Iraq and Bhopal, local hospitals lacked critical antidotes, respirators, toxicology information, and chemical-handling protocols, resulting in preventable mortality and long-term disability.

Finally, public and workforce education is indispensable. Communities must understand the hazards associated with chemical operations, while workers must be trained not only in routine operations but in abnormal and emergency conditions. Failure to communicate risks—whether because warnings are suppressed, poorly translated, or culturally inappropriate—has consistently turned localized hazards into widespread poisonings.

Taken together, these principles underscore that chemical safety is not merely a technical requirement but an integrated system of knowledge, governance, emergency preparedness, and ethical responsibility. The following tables summarize reported mercury contents in crude oil and natural gas from the Middle East, highlighting a largely under-recognized process hazard. Although mercury is often present at trace levels, its cumulative impacts on health, equipment integrity, catalysts, and environmental safety can be severe if not properly managed. With increasing gas processing, LNG expansion, and tighter environmental regulations, mercury in Middle Eastern hydrocarbons represents a potential future risk that warrants systematic monitoring, early-stage removal, and informed process design.

The selection of a suitable mercury-removal technology depends strongly on the chemical form of mercury present in crude oil or natural gas. Elemental mercury (Hg^0), inorganic ionic mercury (Hg^{2+}), and organomercury compounds each require different treatment approaches

due to their distinct reactivity and affinity toward adsorbents or scavengers.

Therefore, the methods summarized in Table 1 are categorized according to their applicable mercury species, operating media, advantages, and limitations

Table 1: Methods used for removing mercury from crude and Natural Gas

Method	Suitable for	Hg Species	Advantages	Limitations
Activated Carbon	Gas	Hg^0 , organo-Hg	Cheap, available	Low capacity [1]
Sulfur Beds	Gas, crude	Hg^0	High efficiency	Moisture sensitive [2]
Metal Sulfides	Gas	Hg^0	Stable product	Regeneration needed [3]
Chemical Scavengers	Crude	Hg^{2+} , Hg^0	Targeted	Sludge disposal [5]
Catalytic + Adsorbent	Gas	$Hg^0 \rightarrow Hg^{2+}$	High efficiency	Complex setup [6]
Membranes	Gas/liquid	Varies	Efficient, continuous	Fouling, cost [7]
Liquid Extraction	Crude	Ionic/organic Hg	Effective	Wastewater [8]
Nanotech Sorbents	Gas, crude	All forms	High surface area	Not yet commercial [9]

TABLE 2: Mercury Speciation in Arabian Crude Oils & Natural Gas (Average % Distribution)

Region	Hg^0 (%)	Hg^{2+} (Inorganic) (%)	Organo-mercury (%)	Asphaltene-Bound Hg (%)	Notes
Iraq	20–35	25–35	5–10	30–40	High asphaltene \rightarrow strong binding [1], [2].
Kuwait	40–50	30–35	5–10	10–15	Lighter crude matrix [4].
UAE	55–70	20–30	3–6	5–10	Moderate S, moderate asphaltene [8].
Oman (heavy)	20–30	25–35	10–15	30–40	Heavier & resin-rich crude [9].
UAE	55–70	20–30	3–6	5–10	Moderate S, moderate asphaltene [8].
Qatar (condensate)	60–70	15–20	5–8	5–12	Gas condensates retain more elemental Hg [6].
UAE	55–70	20–30	3–6	5–10	Moderate S, moderate asphaltene [8].
Oman (heavy)	20–30	25–35	10–15	30–40	Heavier & resin-rich crude [9].

Table 3: Speciation in Arabian Natural Gas (Average % Distribution)

Region	Hg ⁰ (%)	Hg ²⁺ (%)	Organo-mercury (%)	Notes
Iraq	85–95	5–10	<1	Mostly elemental Hg ⁰ [3].
Kuwait	80–95	5–15	<1	Hg ⁰ dominates dry gas [4].
Saudi Arabia	85–98	2–10	<1	Very low organic Hg [5].
Qatar (North Field)	90–99	1–8	<1	One of highest Hg ⁰ globally [6], [7].
UAE	85–95	5–10	<1	Stable distribution [8].
Oman	80–90	8–15	<2	Sour gas can oxidize Hg ⁰ to Hg ²⁺ [9].

2. Historical case studies

2.1 Minamata and Niigata (Japan) Between the 1950s and 1960s, industrial discharge of inorganic mercury into Minamata Bay initiated one of the most severe environmental poisonings of the modern era. Microbial conversion of Hg²⁺ to methylmercury (CH₃Hg⁺) led to progressive bioaccumulation and biomagnification in marine food webs (1,2). Chronic dietary exposure produced severe neurological manifestations—ataxia, sensory disturbances, dysarthria, visual-field constriction, and fetal neurodevelopmental defects—now collectively termed Minamata Disease (1-3). Notably, early biological indicators such as the “Dancing Cats” phenomenon—feline tremors, seizures, and erratic behavior caused by contaminated fish—were ignored for years, delaying recognition and intervention (3-4). The Minamata and Niigata outbreaks established the canonical model of environmental methylmercury poisoning.

2.2 Iraq Wheat Poisoning (1971–72)

A second major methylmercury disaster occurred in Iraq when seed grain treated with alkylmercury fungicides—intended strictly for planting—was milled into flour and consumed due to failures in labeling, distribution, and communication (5,6). Warning messages printed in Spanish and English were not understood in many rural communities, and the distinctive red dye intended to discourage consumption was removed by washing. Toxicity testing using chickens, which excrete mercury more efficiently than humans, falsely reassured villagers of its safety (6), leading to widespread ingestion of highly contaminated bread. Thousands developed acute neurological injury, including paresthesia, blindness, ataxia, paralysis, and several hundred fatalities, making it one of the largest recorded acute methylmercury poisonings (5,6). The Iraq incident highlights failures in

hazard communication, risk perception, and public health preparedness.

2.3 Bhopal Gas Tragedy (1984)

The release of more than 40 tons of methyl isocyanate (MIC) from a pesticide manufacturing plant in Bhopal, India, represents the **deadliest** industrial chemical accident in history. Following water ingress into an MIC¹ storage tank, a runaway exothermic reaction rapidly increased temperature and pressure, overwhelming containment (7). MIC is a highly volatile electrophile that hydrolyzes on contact with respiratory tissues, causing chemical burns, pulmonary edema, bronchospasm, and acute respiratory failure within minutes of exposure (7,8). Safety systems—including refrigeration, flare tower, vent scrubber capacity, and emergency MIC diversion tank—were either inoperative, under-designed, or deactivated prior to the event (9-12). Compounding the tragedy, the plant was surrounded by dense informal housing due to decades of unregulated urban encroachment. Hospitals lacked toxicology information, respiratory equipment, and treatment protocols, leading to preventable mortality and long-term disability (7-9).

Summary

These three events demonstrate distinct exposure pathways (chronic dietary, acute dietary, and hyperacute inhalation), different chemical hazards (organomercury neurotoxins vs. reactive isocyanates), and consistent failures across industrial operation, public communication, regulatory oversight, and emergency preparedness. All disproportionately affected vulnerable populations.

3. Comparative toxicology and exposure pathways

3.1 Chemical Properties and Mechanisms of Toxicity

Methylmercury (Minamata, Niigata, Iraq) is a lipophilic, membrane-permeant organometallic cation that crosses the blood–brain barrier and placenta via L-methionine transporters (1,2,4). It binds sulfhydryl groups, disrupts microtubules, induces oxidative stress, and causes progressive neuronal degeneration (1,5). Its long biological half-life (~50 days) promotes accumulation in the CNS and developing fetal brain (1,4). Methyl isocyanate (MIC², Bhopal) is a highly volatile electrophile used in carbamate pesticide synthesis. Upon inhalation, MIC hydrolyzes rapidly on moist respiratory surfaces, producing corrosive intermediates that cause epithelial necrosis, pulmonary edema, and acute hypoxemia (7,8). MIC toxicity is characterized by hyperacute onset and severe airway injury.

3.2 Exposure Pathways

¹ MIC =Methyl isocyanate, a potent insecticide

² CNS (Central Nervous System) damage

(a) Chronic Dietary Exposure — Minamata and Niigata Contaminated fish and shellfish led to >90% gastrointestinal absorption of methylmercury (1,2). Slow accumulation resulted in sensory neuropathy, visual-field constriction, and fetal CNS damage.

(b) Acute Dietary Exposure — Iraq Wheat Poisoning Direct ingestion of methylmercury 3 -treated grain produced extremely high-dose exposure. Acute neurological injury included paresthesia, blindness, ataxia, and paralysis, with high mortality (5,6).

(c) Hyperacute Inhalation Exposure — Bhopal Inhalation of MIC vapor caused immediate respiratory collapse. MIC absorption occurs within seconds across the alveolar membrane, leading to chemical pneumonitis and long-term fibrosis (7-9).

3.3 Toxicokinetics and Toxicodynamics

Methylmercury: high absorption, slow elimination, CNS accumulation, oxidative stress, neuronal degeneration (1,4,5).

MIC: instantaneous absorption, local respiratory damage, rapid hydrolysis, systemic hypoxia, delayed lung injury (7,8).

3.4 Comparative Timeline of Toxic Injury

Inamata/Niigata: chronic onset months–years; CNS and fetal neurotoxicity.

Iraq: acute onset days–weeks; high-dose CNS toxicity.

Bhopal: onset within minutes; respiratory failure and long-term fibrosis.

3.5 Determinants of Severity was governed by chemical reactivity, route of exposure, dose intensity, biological susceptibility, population density, and access to medical care.

3.6 Key Comparative Insight Although methylmercury and MIC differ in chemistry and kinetics, all three events demonstrate that when a hazardous chemical reaches an unprotected population through an efficient exposure pathway—and emergency systems fail—mass poisoning becomes inevitable.

4. Systemic failures across all incidents

4.1 Failure to Recognize Early Warning Signals All three disasters exhibited clear precursor signs that were ignored or misinterpreted.

- Minamata: feline neurotoxicity (“Dancing Cats”) and sudden marine die-offs preceded human cases, yet these indicators were dismissed or inadequately investigated (3,4).

- Iraq: chickens used as informal toxicity testers failed to display methylmercury symptoms due to species-specific

metabolic excretion, resulting in a false assumption of grain safety (5) - Bhopal: safety audits, operator reports, and repeated MIC leaks signaled rising risk, yet corrective actions were delayed or deprioritized (9-11). A consistent failure was the inability to integrate abnormal observations into formal risk assessments, allowing hazards to escalate unchecked.

4.2 Deficiencies in Hazard Communication

In each case, communities were exposed because essential hazard information was absent, inaccessible, suppressed, or misunderstood. - Minamata: industrial and governmental communication minimized early findings linking mercury discharge to neurological disease (1,2).

- Iraq: warning labels were printed in foreign languages, distributed without adequate guidance, and contradicted by misleading local toxicity tests (5,6).

- Bhopal: surrounding populations had no knowledge of MIC properties, protective actions, or emergency procedures (10). Poor communication transformed local chemical risks into population-level exposures.

4.3 Regulatory and Oversight Failures

Regulatory authorities in all three contexts failed to enforce basic safeguards: - Minamata: mercury effluent discharge continued despite increasing toxicological evidence (1,2).

- Iraq: importation, storage, distribution, and labeling of toxic seed grain proceeded without appropriate oversight or public education mechanisms (5,6).

- Bhopal: critical safety systems—refrigeration, flare tower, vent gas scrubber, MIC diversion tank—were degraded or deactivated without regulatory intervention (9-12).

These failures demonstrate systemic weaknesses in enforcement capacity, technical expertise, and regulatory independence.

4.4 Erosion of Industrial

Safety Systems In both Minamata and Bhopal, economic pressures and production priorities overrode safety considerations.

- In Bhopal, workforce reductions, deferred maintenance, and cost-cutting rendered safety barriers nonfunctional, directly contributing to catastrophic containment loss (9,11).

- In Minamata, disposal of mercury waste to the bay persisted as the lowest-cost option despite evident ecological and human health impacts (1,2). The pattern reflects a widespread phenomenon: when safety systems are not continuously maintained, trained,

³ Methylmercury : The sacks of treated seed grain carried **clear warnings in English** (and sometimes Spanish or Swedish), but NOT in Arabic, which contributed directly to the tragedy. POISON — NOT

FOR HUMAN OR ANIMAL CONSUMPTION.”
“FOR SEED TREATMENT ONLY. DO NOT USE AS FOOD.”

Often accompanied by a skull and crossbones symbol.

tested, and funded, they silently decay.

4.5 Absence of Adequate Emergency and Medical Preparedness

Local health systems were unprepared for chemical exposures of this scale. - Minamata and Iraq: medical personnel lacked exposure guidelines, diagnostic criteria, and early-stage treatment protocols for methylmercury poisoning (5,6).

- Bhopal: hospitals lacked antidotes, respirators, toxicology data, and patient surge capacity, resulting in preventable mortality (7-9). Emergency readiness must extend beyond industry walls; hospitals require anticipatory training, antidotes, equipment, and coordination with industrial operators.

4.6 Environmental and Social Vulnerability

Across all three incidents, the most affected populations were economically disadvantaged, geographically marginalized, or politically underrepresented:

- fishing communities in Minamata,

rural families reliant on wheat supplies in Iraq,

- informal settlements surrounding the Bhopal plant.

These populations had limited access to information, reduced mobility, and minimal influence on industrial or municipal policy, making them disproportionately susceptible to harm (6-7).

4.7 Structural Pattern of Failure Across Minamata, Iraq, and Bhopal, the failures align across seven systemic dimensions:

1. Ignored early warnings

2. Inaccessible or suppressed hazard communication

3. Weak regulatory oversight

4. Degraded or untested safety systems

5. Lack of medical preparedness

6. Economic and social inequity

7. Absence of integrated risk governance

These recurring patterns provide a framework for evaluating modern chemical industries—including petroleum and natural gas operations—where similar systemic vulnerabilities persist.

5. Lessons For Petroleum And Natural Gas Industry

The failures observed in Minamata, Iraq, and Bhopal provide a critical framework for evaluating modern petroleum and natural gas (NG) operations. Although the chemical agents differ, the systemic vulnerabilities that amplified these historical events remain present in today's upstream, midstream, and downstream sectors. The petroleum/NG industry routinely handles mercury, hydrogen sulphide (H₂S), volatile organics, and highly reactive intermediates—all of which can cause catastrophic outcomes when operational discipline deteriorates (14-7).

5.1 Mercury Management in Crude Oil and Natural Gas
Mercury is encountered frequently in petroleum reservoirs and natural gas condensates in elemental (Hg⁰), inorganic (Hg²⁺), and organomercury forms (14,17,18). Lessons from Minamata and Iraq emphasize:

(a) Accurate Mercury Speciation

Correct identification of mercury species is critical for engineering controls. Hg⁰ requires sulphide-based adsorbents, organomercury may persist through treating units, and inorganic Hg compounds can corrode cryogenic heat exchangers.

(b) Sorbent Integrity and Bed Maintenance

Metal-sulphide or sulfur-impregnated sorbents must be inspected for saturation, channeling, and temperature excursions. A compromised bed can release mercury back into the system.

(c) Environmental Containment

Mercury-laden sludges, spent adsorbents, and amine residues must be treated as hazardous waste to prevent environmental contamination and biomagnification.

(d) Worker Protection

Maintenance personnel must be protected during vessel opening, pigging, and filter change-outs through continuous monitoring and respiratory equipment.

5.2 Process Safety and Catastrophic Loss Prevention

The Bhopal disaster demonstrates the consequences of degraded, untested, or unfunded safety layers. Petroleum/NG operations must maintain:

(a) Layered Safety Barriers HIPPS, flare and blowdown systems, gas detection networks, and backup containment systems.

(b) Mechanical Integrity Programs Inspection, calibration, and maintenance programs must be rigorously implemented to avoid Bhopal-type erosion of safety systems.

(c) Emergency Shutdown Logic (ESD) Verification
Shutdown valves, blowdown valves, and interlocks must undergo regular proof testing.

(d) Operator Competency and Drills

Routine emergency drills (toxic release, fires, loss-of-containment scenarios) are essential; safety systems that are not tested will not function in real emergencies.

5.3 Environmental and Biological Monitoring

Minamata shows how chronic contamination can develop unnoticed. Petroleum/NG parallels include:

- Fish/sediment mercury monitoring near offshore platforms

- Atmospheric monitoring for VOCs and H₂S

- Remote sensing for spill detection

- Sentinel-species monitoring programs

5.4 Risk Communication and Community Protection

The Iraq and Bhopal disasters demonstrate that failures in

communication can be lethal. Industry must ensure:

- Multilingual hazard communication
- Clear public education on alarms, H₂S risks, and emergency procedures
- Prevention of urban encroachment into industrial buffer zones
- Transparent communication after incidents and near misses

5.5 Global Safety Consistency and the “Circle of Poison.”

Companies must maintain uniform global safety standards and avoid safety downgrading in regions with weaker regulations. Historical evidence shows that chemical hazards migrate toward regulatory gaps (13,19). Preventing this requires corporate and governmental commitment to consistent safety and environmental practices.

5.6 Integrated Learning Across mercury management, process safety, environmental monitoring, and risk communication, the unifying principle is clear: Catastrophic chemical events occur when hazardous materials meet unprepared systems. Preparedness—not luck—is the determinant of survival.

6. Conclusion

The Minamata, Iraq wheat poisoning, and Bhopal disasters constitute three of the most consequential chemical mass-exposure events of the modern industrial era. Despite involving different substances—methylmercury in Japan and Iraq, and methyl isocyanate (MIC) in India—they exhibit a shared structural pattern of systemic failure. These events demonstrate that catastrophic chemical incidents arise not from isolated technical errors, but from a sustained breakdown in knowledge, preparation, safety culture, regulatory oversight, and social protection mechanisms (1-6, 9-16).

Across all three cases, the underlying contributors were clear: early warning signals were ignored; hazard communication was ineffective or suppressed; critical safety systems were degraded or untested; regulatory frameworks were weak or poorly enforced; medical and emergency response capacity was insufficient; and the populations most affected were economically marginalized with limited access to information or protective infrastructure. This convergence of chemical hazard and systemic vulnerability created conditions in which small failures cascaded into mass casualties. These lessons are directly relevant to modern petroleum and natural gas operations, where mercury, hydrogen sulphide (H₂S), volatile organics, and reactive intermediates continue to pose serious risks (14,15,17,18). As in historical incidents, the primary hazards arise not merely from the inherent toxicity of these substances, but

from gaps in engineering controls, lapses in mechanical integrity, insufficient operator training, inadequate environmental monitoring, and inconsistent global safety standards.

A consistent message emerges from these historical tragedies:

Chemical disasters occur when hazardous materials meet unprepared systems. Where preparedness, transparency, training, and engineering rigor are strong, the probability of catastrophe is low. Where they are weak, disaster is only a matter of time.

For the petroleum and NG sectors, this means prioritizing:

- accurate mercury speciation and removal technologies,
- fully functional, regularly tested safety barriers,
- disciplined mechanical integrity programs,
- multilingual and culturally appropriate hazard communication,
- strict enforcement of buffer zones,
- alignment of global facilities to the highest safety standards.

The Minamata, Iraq, and Bhopal disasters must not be viewed merely as historical events but as enduring case studies in what happens when safety is compromised, communication fails, and vulnerable populations are left unprotected. Their lessons remain essential to preventing future catastrophes in an increasingly complex and interconnected energy industry.

References

1. Harada H. Minamata Disease: Methylmercury Poisoning in Japan Caused by Environmental Pollution. *Crit Rev Toxicol.*1995;25(1):1–24. <https://doi.org/10.3109/10408449509089885>.
2. Tsubaki T and Irukayama K. Minamata Disease: Methylmercury Poisoning in Minamata and Niigata, Japan. Tokyo, Japan: Kodansha, 1977. <https://www.cabidigitallibrary.org/doi/full/10.5555/19782702569>
3. Kurland L, Faro S, and Siedler H. Minamata Disease: The Occurrence of Organic Mercury Poisoning in Humans,” *World Neurol.* 1962;3: 370–395.
4. Saito S. Early Animal Indicators of Mercury Poisoning in Minamata: The Dancing Cats Case. *J Environm Med.* 1988;12:45–52.
5. Bakir J, Amin-Zaki L, Murtad M, Khalidi A, Al-Rawi N. Y, Tikriti S, Dhahir H I, Clarkson TW, and Doherty R A. Methylmercury Poisoning in Iraq. *Sci.* 1973;181(4096):230–241. doi: 10.1126/science.181.4096.230
6. D'Itri W and D'Itri F M. Mercury Contamination: A Human Tragedy. New York, NY, USA: Wiley-Interscience, 1977.
7. Dhara S. and Dhara V. The Union Carbide Disaster in Bhopal: A Review of Health Effects. *Environm Health.* 2012;11(65):1–10.
8. Sriramachari S K. Toxicity of Methyl Isocyanate: Bhopal Gas Tragedy Revisited. *Current Sci.* 2004;86(7):905–920.
9. Varma A. Bhopal: A Case Study of International Disaster. *J L9.oss Prevention in the Process Industries.* 1996; 9(1):1–9.
10. Lapierre M. Maintenance Failures and Safety System Degradation in the Bhopal Disaster. *Process Safety Progress.* 2003;22(2):119–125. 2003.
11. Union Carbide Corporation, “Bhopal Incident Investigation Report,” internal document, 1985.
12. Amnesty International, *Clouds of Injustice: Bhopal Disaster 20 Years On.* London, U.K.: Amnesty International Publications, 2004.

13. WHO/UNEP, Public Health Impact of Pesticides Used in Agriculture. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization, 1990.
14. Brown C L, Helmig P A, and Perry T N. Mercury in Natural Gas: Distribution, Speciation, and Industry Implications. Energy & Fuels. 2005; 19(6):2537–2545,
15. AICHE. Guidelines for Hazard Evaluation Procedures. New York, NY, USA: American Institute of Chemical Engineers, 2018.
16. API, Recommended Practice 14C: Analysis, Design, Installation, and Testing of Basic Surface Safety Systems. Washington, DC, USA: American Petroleum Institute, 2015.
17. Goodman G T and Brown S R. Mercury Removal Technologies in Hydrocarbon Processing. J Natur Gas Engineer. 2014;7(2): 45–62.
18. A. M. R. Pinto et al., “Mercury Speciation, Distribution, and Removal in Natural Gas Processing,” Journal of Petroleum Science and Engineering, vol. 182, pp. 106–115, 2019.

دائرة السم: دروس عالمية من ميناماتا، و كارثة القمح المسموم بالزئبق في العراق، ومأساة غاز بوبال

حكمت سعيد السالم

مستشار في صناعات النفط والبتروكيماويات، متخصص في دراسة وتطبيق تقنيات النانو في جميع مراحل الإنتاج في قطاع النفط والصناعات المرتبطة به.

الخلاصة

لقد أسهمت المواد الكيميائية الصناعية في تحقيق تقدم تكنولوجي كبير، إلا أن سوء إدارتها أدى مرارًا إلى تعرّض بشري كارثي. تقدم هذه الورقة تحليلًا مقارنًا لثلاث كوارث كيميائية مفصلية: التسمم بميثيل الزئبق في ميناماتا ونيغاتا (اليابان)، وحادثة التسمم بالقمح المعالج بالزئبق في العراق خلال عامي 1971–1972، وتسرب ميثيل أيزوسيانات عام 1984 في بوبال (الهند). وعلى الرغم من اختلاف المواد الكيميائية ومسارات التعرض والأطر الزمنية في هذه الحوادث، فإنها تكشف عن نمط مشترك من الإخفاقات المنهجية، يشمل تجاهل مؤشرات الإنذار المبكر، وضعف التواصل بشأن المخاطر، وتدهور أنظمة السلامة، وقصور الرقابة التنظيمية، وعدم كفاية الجاهزية الطبية. تقارن الدراسة الحالية بين مسارات التعرض الغذائي المزمن، والابتلاع الحاد، والاستنشاق فائق الحدة، مبيّنة كيف تتحكم الخصائص الكيميائية والحركية السمية في النتائج الصحية، في حين تحدد مواطن الضعف المنهجية حجم الكارثة. وانطلاقًا من هذه الدروس التاريخية، توسّع الورقة تحليلها ليشمل قطاع النفط والغاز الطبيعي، مع التركيز على وجود الزئبق وأشكاله الكيميائية وطرق إزالته بوصفه خطرًا مستمرًا لكنه غير مُعترف به على نحو كافٍ في سلامة العمليات والبيئة. وتؤكد النتائج أن الكوارث الكيميائية لا تنشأ من الكيمياء وحدها، بل من أنظمة غير مهيأة. وتستلزم الوقاية الفعالة حوكمة متكاملة للمخاطر، وثقافة سلامة راسخة، ومعرفة دقيقة بالمواد الكيميائية، وتطبيقًا متسقًا لمعايير السلامة العالمية.