



Figure 5. Strengthening of existing footings - RC jacketing [54]. (Reused after obtaining permission).

Truong et al. [57] performed several strengthening techniques to increase the punching shear capacity of isolated footings. The parameters considered consist of increasing the top or bottom reinforcement near the center of the footing, adding shear rebars, and casting high-strength concrete or fiber-reinforced concrete. The results showed that an enhancement to the shear capacity was achieved through using reinforcement or casting additional concrete near the footing center. Whereas the incorporation of shear rebars had no effect on the shear capacity of the footing. Rogers [58] discussed a case study of a building that required the addition of a new column above the foundation to assess existing footing modifications to accommodate new loads. A model of soil-foundation interaction was employed to evaluate and analyze the modifications used. It was suggested that a reinforced concrete overlay (cap) connected to the footing by shear dowels be used to enhance the capacity of the structure. Saunders et al. [59] investigated the seismic retrofitting of bridge pile foundations using various measures, including adding piles, jacketing columns, and utilizing a reinforced concrete overlay connected to the pile cap by shear dowels. The analysis determined that the concrete overlay enhanced the performance and shear capacity of the footing. In addition to that, enlarging the footing with the addition of piles resulted in overturning resistance. Another factor influencing the seismic response of the system is the structure's shape, as suggested by El-Sakhawy et al. [60], where using a finite element model (FEM), it was reported that the optimum shape of a bucket situated on clay soil is shell-shaped. Hence, it's possible to enhance existing structures by changing their shape, which can be suggested for further studies. These methods work directly on enhancing and enlarging the footing without needing heavy equipment, drilling, or deep excavations, which can be safer for old or sensitive structures. These techniques are slower and highly dependent on soil type, and they generate a lot of waste when compared to other methods.

5. Conclusion

This study included a comprehensive review of the main methods considered in enhancing the footing capacity, highlighting the importance of effective strengthening techniques in engineering practice. The analysis of the literature provided leads to the following conclusions:

- The utilization of piles proved to lower the settlement of foundations regardless of the confinement placed on the foundation, particularly when a better soil exists under a considerable depth. A widened end pile can effectively enhance the capacity and reduce the settlements.
- The efficiency of micro-piles depends on their design parameters, including their length, spacing, installation angle, and soil type. Although they are more complex compared to traditional piles, the flexibility in their installation makes them more reliable. Additionally, their smaller diameter gives them adaptability to most geotechnical problems.
- With regard to using grouting in soil under the foundation, the grouting column geometries, properties of grouting materials, soil behavior, and site condition, as well as the grouting technique used, have a significant impact on the degree of soil enhancements. The diversity in grout applications makes them useful in tackling a variety of different situations. However, certain challenges can arise due to their unpredictable nature in some soil types.
- The implication of employing structural enhancement approaches to improve the load capacity of footings through footing enlargements or jacketing works by increasing the footing area and depth, which increases its interaction area with the soil to better distribute loads. While they can be done traditionally without requiring heavy machinery, they

have limited scope, are slower and depend on soil stability compared to other methods.

- The contribution of geosynthetic reinforcement to the soil-foundation enhancement is dependent on the soil type and condition, in addition to their design parameters, such as the depth of installation, length, diameter, and spacing. The use of wrap-around end geosynthetic is as highly effective as using a double-planer geosynthetic reinforcement with half the cost.
- When choosing helical piers to improve the load bearing capabilities of foundations, they perform better than driven piles with similar depth. The depth of embedment, spacing between the piers, and rotation are all factors impacting their effectiveness. Compared to other foundation enhancement methods, helical piers are unique due to their non-destructive insertion technique that doesn't require vibration or excavation, making them suitable to enhance foundations on unstable soils.
- Micro-piles can be considered the most common enhancement technique. They stand out from all other methods due to their high load capacity, wide range of applications, and their adaptability to all soil types. Although, they are high in cost and require careful design to maximize their effectiveness. While helical piers excel in their straightforward installation, speed, and waste minimization, they are however, not as effective in highly dense or rocky soils.

Authors' contribution

All authors contributed equally to the preparation of this article.

Declaration of competing interest

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

Funding source

This study didn't receive any specific funds.

Data availability

The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. M. E. Zumrawi and H. K. E. Aldawi, "A study on strengthening of building foundation for storey extension," *Journal of Building Materials and Structures*, vol. 5, no. 2, pp. 218–226, 2019. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.34118/jbms.v5i2.60>
- [2] A. I. Polishchuk, I. V. Semyonov, and V. A. Demchenko, "Main reasons for strengthening foundations and base soil stabilizations of used buildings," *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, vol. 1928, no. 1, p. 012029, 2021. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/1928/1/012029>
- [3] B. V. Duc, P. D. Tho, N. D. Chieu, and S. Yubonchit, "A case study of the improvement of an old building's shallow foundation resting on sandy clay soil using cement slurry grouting technique," *E3S Web of Conferences*, vol. 405, p. 04022, 2023. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1051/e3sconf/202340504022>
- [4] D. M. S. Dixit, "Damage mechanism in problematic soils," *International Journal of Civil Engineering and Technology*, vol. 7, no. 5, pp. 232–241, 2016.
- [5] V. K. Puri and S. Prakash, "Shallow foundations for seismic loads: Design considerations," *Proceedings of the 7th International Conference on Case Histories in Geotechnical Engineering*, 2013.
- [6] M. Makarchian, "Review of underpinning methods," *Proceedings of the Engineering Geology and the Environment*, pp. 3203–3212, 1997.
- [7] D. Kim, K. Baek, and K. Park, "Analysis of the bearing capacity of helical pile with hexagonal joints," *Materials*, vol. 11, no. 10, p. 1890, 2018. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.3390/ma11101890>
- [8] E. G. Psaroudakis, G. E. Mylonakis, and N. S. Klimis, "Non-linear analysis of axially loaded piles using t-z and q-z curves," *Geotechnical and Geological Engineering*, vol. 37, no. 4, pp. 2293–2302, 2019. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10706-019-00823-2>
- [9] T. Armour, P. Groneck, J. Keeley, and S. Sharma, "Micropile design and construction guidelines: Implementation manual," *Federal Highway Administration*, 2000.

- [46] A. Mohajerani, D. Bosnjak, and D. Bromwich, "Analysis and design methods of screw piles: A review," *Soils and Foundations*, vol. 56, no. 1, pp. 115–128, 2016. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sandf.2016.01.009>
- [47] M. Elkasabgy and M. H. E. Naggat, "Axial compressive response of large-capacity helical and driven steel piles in cohesive soil," *Canadian Geotechnical Journal*, vol. 52, no. 2, pp. 224–243, 2015. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1139/cgj-2012-0331>
- [48] V. Venkatesan and M. Mayakrishnan, "Behavior of mono helical pile foundation in clays under combined uplift and lateral loading conditions," *Applied Sciences*, vol. 12, no. 14, p. 6827, 2022. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.3390/app12146827>
- [49] Y. Bian, T. C. Hutchinson, D. Wilson, D. Laefer, and S. Brandenberg, "Experimental investigation of grouted helical piers for use in foundation rehabilitation," *Journal of Geotechnical and Geoenvironmental Engineering*, vol. 134, no. 9, pp. 1280–1289, 2008. [Online]. Available: [https://doi.org/10.1061/\(ASCE\)1090-0241\(2008\)134:9\(1280\)](https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)1090-0241(2008)134:9(1280))
- [50] H. Nagai, T. Tsuchiya, and M. Shimada, "Influence of installation method on performance of screwed pile and evaluation of pulling resistance," *Soils and Foundations*, vol. 58, no. 2, pp. 355–369, 2018. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sandf.2018.02.006>
- [51] S. D. Turkane, A. V. Navale, and H. N. P. Channa, "Performance behavior of soil plasticity for fly ash-based geopolymer: A flexible pavement material," *Discover Civil Engineering*, vol. 2, p. 207, 2025. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s44290-025-00366-x>
- [52] M. A. Fouda, M. Elkateb, T. Elkateb, and A. Khalil, "Experimental investigation of rc footings resting on sand strengthened with concrete jacketing," *Advances in Science, Technology and Engineering Systems Journal*, vol. 5, no. 6, pp. 133–142, 2020. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.25046/aj050615>
- [53] M. A. Fouda, A. Ibrahim, M. El-Kateb, T. Elkateb, and A. Khalil, "Numerical investigation for strengthened rc footings with square concrete jacketing," *Case Studies in Construction Materials*, vol. 16, p. e00807, 2022. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cscm.2021.e00807>
- [54] G. E. Thermou and A. S. Elnashai, "Seismic retrofit schemes for rc structures and local-global consequences," *Progress in Structural Engineering and Materials*, vol. 8, no. 1, pp. 1–15, 2006. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1002/pse.208>
- [55] X. Lu and R. S. Aboutaha, "Structural strengthening of square spread footings using circular external prestressing," *Journal of Building Engineering*, vol. 31, p. 101344, 2020. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.job.2020.101344>
- [56] M. S. Saiidi, D. Sanders, and S. Acharya, "Seismic retrofit of spread footings supporting bridge columns with short dowels," *Construction and Building Materials*, vol. 15, no. 4, pp. 177–185, 2001. [Online]. Available: [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0950-0618\(00\)00046-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0950-0618(00)00046-5)
- [57] G. T. Truong, K.-K. Choi, and H.-S. Kim, "Punching-shear behaviors of rc-column footings with various reinforcement and strengthening details," *Engineering Structures*, vol. 151, pp. 282–296, 2017. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.engstruct.2017.08.037>
- [58] R. C. Rogers, "Modifying existing foundations to carry additional load," *Practice Periodical on Structural Design and Construction*, vol. 5, no. 3, pp. 115–121, 2000. [Online]. Available: [https://doi.org/10.1061/\(ASCE\)1084-0680\(2000\)5:3\(115\)](https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)1084-0680(2000)5:3(115))
- [59] T. D. Saunders, J. A. Cahill, D. I. Mclean, M. L. Marsh, and C. L. Ho, "Seismic retrofitting of bridge substructures," *Transportation Research Record*, pp. 37–47, 1995. [Online]. Available: <https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:108201432>
- [60] N. R. El-Sakhawy, T. N. Salem, A. A. Al-Tuhamy, and A. A. El-Latief, "Behavior of circular and square footings resting on sand soil," *The Egyptian International Journal of Engineering Sciences and Technology*, vol. 21, pp. 24–32, 2016. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.21608/ejest.2016.97182>

How to cite this article:

Mustafa H. Al-Asady, Alaa M. Al-Khekany, Saif Alzabeebee, and Rwayda. S. Al-Hamed (2026). 'Review of methods to enhance the geotechnical and structural capacity of existing footings', *Al-Qadisiyah Journal for Engineering Sciences*, 4th International Conference for Civil Engineering Sciences (CCES), Malaysia, July 2025, Special Issue, pp. 010–016. <https://doi.org/10.30772/qjes.2026.163701.1678>